WILENCHIK & BARTNESS PC 1 MARK BRNOVICH ATTORNEY GENERAL 2 (Firm State Bar No. 14000) 3 Jack Wilenchik (No. 029353) Joseph A. Kanefield (No. 15838) 4 Brunn (Beau) W. Roysden III (No. 28698) The Wilenchik & Bartness Building Drew C. Ensign (No. 25463) 2810 North Third Street 5 James K. Rogers (No. 27287) Phoenix, AZ 85004 2005 N. Central Ave 6 Phone (602) 606-2816 Phoenix, AZ 85004-1592 JackW@wb-law.com 7 Phone: (602) 542-8540 Joseph.Kanefield@azag.gov 8 Attorney for Plaintiff John Doe Beau.Roysden@azag.gov Drew.Ensign@azag.gov 9 James.Rogers@azag.gov Attorneys for Plaintiffs Mark Brnovich and 10 the State of Arizona 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 DISTRICT OF ARIZONA No. 2:21-cy-01568-MTL Mark Brnovich, in his official capacity as 13 Attorney General of Arizona; the State of 14 Arizona; and John Doe, STATE PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR 15 Plaintiffs, **EXPEDITED BRIEFING** 16 Joseph R. Biden in his official capacity as President of the United States; 17 Alejandro Mayorkas in his official 18 capacity as Secretary of Homeland Security; United States Department of 19 Homeland Security; Troy Miller in his 20 official capacity as Senior Official Performing the Duties of the 21 Commissioner of U.S. Customs and 22 Border Protection; Tae Johnson in his official capacity as Senior Official 23 Performing the Duties of Director of 24 Immigration U.S. and Customs Enforcement: Ur M. Jaddou in her 25 official capacity as Director of U.S. 26 Citizenship and Immigration Services; United States Office of Personnel 27 Management; Kiran Ahuja in her official capacity as director of the Office of 28 Personnel Management and as co-chair of the Safer Federal Workforce Task

Force; General Services Administration; Robin Carnahan in her official capacity as administrator of the General Services Administration and as co-chair of the Safer Federal Workforce Task Force; Office of Management and Budget; Shalanda Young in her official capacity as Acting Director of the Office of Management and Budget and as a member of the Safer Federal Workforce Task Force; Safer Federal Workforce Task Force; and Jeffrey Zients in his official capacity as co-chair of the Safer Federal Workforce Task Force and COVID-19 Response Coordinator

Defendants.

Plaintiffs Mark Brnovich, in his official capacity as Attorney General of Arizona and the State of Arizona (hereinafter, the "State") respectfully submit this reply in support of Plaintiffs' motion for an expedited briefing schedule (Doc.36) for Plaintiffs' Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction (Doc. 34). This Reply addresses only the Contractor Claims, while John Doe may reply separately regarding the Employee Claims.

With respect to the Contractor Mandate, Federal Defendants claim (at 4) that "any exigency that exists here is of Plaintiff's own making." Not so. The scope of the State's harms only became clear when the Biden Administration revealed how sweepingly it intends to apply the Contractor Mandate to *State* agencies, subdivisions, and other entities. It was only announced *last Friday* that Arizona universities would be implementing vaccination mandates as a *direct result* of Biden Administration pressure.² In that, Arizona universities are hardly unique: it only became clear throughout the nation that the Biden Administration intended to use the Contractor Mandate to usurp from States lawmaking authority over their own state universities and other agencies: "Some [colleges] are still trying to confirm how the executive order applies, others are still figuring out implementation, and some might be weighing whether to challenge the order in court." And universities are but one aspect of the sweeping assertion of federal power over state entities. The Biden Administration, for example, apparently intends to

¹ This Reply is not submitted on behalf of John Doe, who is separately represented, and may also file a reply.

See, e.g., https://tucson.com/news/local/ducey-reviewing-mask-mandates-at-arizona-universities/article_a21bc826-305d-11ec-a262-633b4b233a94.html; https://kjzz.org/content/1726081/gov-doug-ducey-isnt-happy-about-universities-requiring-vaccines-what-can-he-do-about.

³ https://www.mcclatchydc.com/news/nation-world/national/article255090947.html (Oct. 29, 2021).

1 2 Amended Complaint ¶4. 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 to expedited consideration of that harm. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 legality of those mandates. 18 19

apply its Contractor Mandate to the State's own Civil Rights Division. See First

The State of Arizona has a law that prohibits "this state and any city, town or county of this state ... from establishing a COVID-19 vaccine passport or requiring ... the following 1. Any person to be vaccinated for COVID-19." A.R.S. §36-681(A). The State will be arguing on November 2 at the Arizona Supreme Court whether this law was validly passed as part of the budget. The federal contractor mandate is preventing the State from effectuating its laws by purporting to override them. The State is entited

Defendants' diminishment of the State's harms is further belied by their own position: if little will occur in the window between when expedited and ordinary briefing would be complete, respectively, why are they completely unwilling to stay the Mandates in that time period? It is precisely because so many of the harms at issue will occur in that window of time that Defendants are unwilling to agree to a short stay.

But if Defendants intend to press full-speed ahead with their Mandates, they can hardly expect courts to give them non-expedited briefing schedules to address the

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 22nd of October, 2021.

MARK BRNOVICH ATTORNEY GENERAL

By: /s/ Brunn W. Roysden III Joseph A. Kanefield (No. 15838) Brunn W. Roysden III (No. 28698) Drew C. Ensign (No. 25463) James K. Rogers (No. 27287)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Mark Brnovich, in his official capacity as Attorney General Arizona; and the State of Arizona

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 22nd day of October, 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the District of Arizona using the CM/ECF filing system. Counsel for all parties are registered CM/ECF users and will be served by the CM/ECF system pursuant to the notice of electronic filing.

/s/ Brunn W. Roysden III

Attorney for Plaintiff Mark Brnovich, in his official capacity as Attorney General of Arizona; and the State of Arizona